

Where Are We With AI? What Health Equity Champions Need to Know

Webinar Chat Transcription

Tuesday, March 24th, 2026

[Access the webinar recording](#)

13:09:06: Honestly, dread. I just finished "Empire of AI" by Karen Hao and beyond the environmental impact, the exploitation of workers in the Global South in addition to the exploitative behaviors driving all the AI companies make me feel like there is no way to engage ethically. Not to mention how it impacts our work in disinformation and mistrust. But I sound like a Luddite

13:10:35: I don't really love this definition. We associate most software with deterministic systems – code that produces a known set of possible outcomes. Most of the things we associate with AI are nondeterministic – they are making predictions, but those predictions are essentially unpredictable.

13:11:10: What definition do you like?

13:11:26: A mechanical method of making predictions is good.

13:12:29: But it's important to note that most of the systems that people currently associate with AI are not predicting facts. They're predicting what word will follow next in a string of other words.

13:12:36: I am excited about AI being an advancement in supporting mental load and being a conduit to allow more opportunity for human connection. Scared about misuse of AI.

13:12:53: For me, the major concern of AI usage is overreliance and the sustainability component mentioned ...as we see AI usage increasingly grow (both in professional and especially nonprofessional usage).

13:13:16: Like I agree with how the good Dr Gray is describing this. But there's so much confusion about whether AI behaves like software, or predicts facts, that we really ought to be fronting the facts that these tools are not like software and don't predict facts.

13:14:52: It sounds like AI is predominantly pattern recognition through contextual exposure and reinforcement of what you want it to produce, no?

13:15:51: Not just online data:

<https://arstechnica.com/ai/2025/06/anthropic-destroyed-millions-of-print-books-to-build-its-ai-models/>

13:17:23: Looking to learn how AI enabled services can address coordination and calibration of care needs for complex, progressive and rare diseases

13:17:51: I am sure each of you has thoughts on AI. What is the prevalent feeling that comes up when you think of AI? Just drop 1-2 words in the chat.

13:18:27: Who determines or defines what "high-quality" means in relation to the data?

13:18:42: Nervousness around the negative environmental impact.

13:19:00: Possibility & Fear

13:19:04: Where can we find examples of org/institutions using AI to overcome health care challenges

13:19:16: What frameworks exist or would the panelists recommend to encourage the responsible use of AI in healthcare in community hospital or similar settings?

13:19:22: Environmental impact

13:19:30: Agree with concerns about the environmental impact and poor regulation on data privacy and theft.

13:19:53: I am very concerned about what the relationship between Capitalism and AI is and will be.

13:20:08: What is the prevalent feeling that comes up when you think of AI? Just drop 1-2 words in the chat.

13:20:16: I agree with everyone's concerns with environment

13:20:22: Defensive

13:20:24: Concern over corporate interests overriding human impacts

13:20:30: I typically have fear and frustration when I give this talk.

13:20:30: i am very frightened

13:20:34: If anyone has access to Gemini (Google's AI model), the notebook LM feature is amazing! You can upload any materials you have (e.g. software manuals, best practices research, etc) and ask it questions and it will ONLY use those resources. Super helpful.

13:20:37: THE INEVITABILITY OF IT ALL

13:20:42: efficiency and curiosity

13:20:45: the politics informing the models

13:20:49: Health disparities widening due to data centers

13:20:59: I think it has great potential for good and bad. I'm concerned with environmental impact and also that it will leave marginalized communities behind and further deepen inequities.

13:21:03: I am hopeful for the future of AI. Ai can be safer, but it is being overly consumed by people who aren't fully aware of its capacity- even its capacity for good.

13:21:08: Concerned about the environmental impact as well as the abundance of misinformation in the data

13:21:20: AI is mainly fun for me, but not at all worth the environmental and displacement impacts right now. AI to help you plan your trip to Chicago is not worth it.

13:21:35: Positive impact can be efficient to search and summarize large amounts of data.

13:22:04: Is AI largely at the moment a technology in need of a market, and this market has to be seen as necessary by consumers due to the vast scale of the funds used to create it?

13:22:38: Mine too! I have trained mine to disagree

13:23:06: Love that. Wonder how to train it to not always agree.

13:23:30: AI tools are amazing for translation. They currently struggle with languages that are less spoken or written. Great work on changing this dynamic:

<https://time.com/collections/time100-ai/6308982/kalika-bali/>

13:23:49: I personally use the prompt “Be Brutal in the Response” it helps you get a true/non-sugar coded response

13:23:55: Agreed! I have been impressed with how my notetaker app transcription is so accurate even when speakers have distinct accents.

13:24:01: And some people have ended up thinking that AI chatbots are like some sort of oracle or conduit to the divine and they can make very bad decisions.

13:24:09: Agreed

13:24:27: I have so many concerns about AI. While I recognize the potential it holds, I still think it is a long way from being ready to help with anything substantial. The errors and tendencies to hallucinate are deeply concerning to me still

13:24:31: I don't understand how we can have a conversation about AI "acknowledging" the ethical and environmental impacts when it hasn't been acknowledged. The conversations about use are completely separate.. shouldn't these be had together?

13:24:57: I've used AI and large language models to produce audio translations of government documents and forms that otherwise would be barred from being official because of the political leanings at the state level. They're especially helpful for people who are speaking ESL and may have low literacy in their native languages. The newer LLMs produce really awesome, accurate audio translations!

13:25:24: True - “And some people have ended up thinking that AI chatbots are like some sort of oracle or conduit to the divine and they can make very bad decisions.” This is such a problem

13:25:33: RE AI as a helper- is the info you are giving AI more valuable to it than the value of what it is giving to you?

13:25:55:That's a good one

13:26:04: HOWEVER, I am ridiculously concerned about the environment, so the LLMs I used were run locally on my computer and did not go through the water-guzzling commercial sources like ChatGPT.

13:26:27: Now do asbestos.

13:26:49: We need human in the loop policies. Also need ESG metrics for responsible capitalism, when I was in school much of AI was theory, folks like Steven Pinker discussed it in Cognitive Science, it is now present.

13:26:50: what are LLMs?

13:26:55: On languages, AI requires so much data that isn't available for already endangered languages, so AI's spread is predicted to drive language extinction. Which is not me negating the use of it for translation! I just mean these two things need to be discussed in the same conversation. It feels like people are making a pros and cons list where the scale does not align at all.

13:27:02: Now do urban highways.

13:27:06: I've conducted user research with patients regarding AI and more patients are using it to get answers faster than doctors can provide, but also to fill gaps in doctors' training. However, as a woman and person of color, I worry about the bias in the data sources of AI machines.

13:27:27: LLM= Large language model

13:28:44: Okay, but AI has actually been shown to have a negative impact on people's brains/cognitive ability. I think comparing it to the fear of "uterine displacement" because of a train is a bit disingenuous.

13:29:03: ^agreed

13:29:11: +1

13:29:13: Agree also

13:29:15: +1

13:29:24: ^agreed, AI induced psychosis

13:29:28: Yes - Okay, but AI has actually been shown to have a negative impact on people's brains/cognitive ability. I think comparing it to the fear of "uterine displacement" because of a train is a bit disingenuous.

13:30:09: Agreed ^

13:30:42: For every audio translation I've used the LLMs to produce, I always have them reviewed by native for very fluent speakers of the language before it goes live. These are coworkers or community partners who don't have the time and/or resources to be able to produce the audio content themselves, but who are integral to the process of being able to offer the translated information.

13:30:49: wonderful!

13:31:29: Rey- how will your tools keep up with changes in intake forms and non-profits service providers?

13:31:55: What do we do for those who are tech challenged like seniors?

13:31:59: and as organizations close or as funding is used up?

13:32:06: +1

13:32:08: Was just going to ask that! Who is behind maintaining this?

13:32:41: Rey - Have you done an environmental assessment of the impact of the use of your tools?

13:32:56: I think the environmental impacts are extremely real as well and not merely a fear. The water usage and pollution in regions that are already water-scarce and air pollution in areas that are already experiencing social/environmental injustice is extremely distressing. While I agree AI can be a useful tool, we don't need it for EVERYTHING. I think we, as a society, need to utilize it as an expensive resource. If we do need it, when do we REALLY need it? I try to imagine myself dumping out a bottle of water and bothering a neighbor. Is it worth it?

13:33:31: The high level of difficulty for intake forms and screening requirements are an artificial creation that was actively decided by people. And it doesn't have to be that way; CA had begun expanding and easing access to Medi-Cal/CalFresh (Medicaid and SNAP), by removing work requirements, easing the re-enrollment/renewal process, but are now required to reinstate that because of HR1. Instead of needing to rely on AI to navigate the system, we could just make the system easier to navigate and we're choosing not to.

13:33:34: That fear is powerful.

13:33:41:+1! And is training your AI chatbot to "disagree" a good use?

13:34:18: How does One Degree protect itself from Generative AI?

13:34:23: "Expensive resource" is a good point. These companies need us to adopt this more than a lot of us may need to adopt it

13:34:26: Yes to the systemic change point!

13:34:46: This presentation didn't seem to address the main question, which is that these tools don't work with facts and don't produce factual information. Most fields seem to be finding an error rate between 20-75% for these tools when assessing their factual accuracy. Is it so important that organizations like yours "not be left out" that you're willing to expose your users to fundamentally unreliable systems that we know will mislead them?

13:34:51: CAPITALISM. Honestly, the potential for extraction and exploitation is huge with AI.

13:34:55: What we lack is a collective appraisal of the societal effects and a willingness to compensate those in no position to access these tools nor to protect themselves from their indiscriminate, profit-making operation.

13:34:58: I live in Iowa, where an enormous data center is already in construction and will use catastrophic volumes of water, while residents in our state capitol are forced to boil their water because of dangerous nitrate levels due to agricultural runoff/watershed.

13:35:12: Environmental impact goes beyond water and electricity. Valuable farmland is being gobbled up by AI tech.

13:35:13: Yeah it's exploding because these companies need a return on their billions of investments....

13:35:45: Agree with the point on the error rates. These program giving patients or consumer false answers concerns me deeply

13:35:56: yall in other contexts we should care about the environmental impacts and capitalist exploitation but in this context we should care about the question of whether it works reliably – and we know that it does not!

13:35:58:

<https://futurism.com/the-byte/african-workers-openai-training#:~:text=Low-paid%20AI%20workers%20in%20Africa%20who%20perform%20AI,say%20amounts%20to%20%E2%80%9Cmodern%20day%20slavery%2C%E2%80%9D%20Wired%20reports.>

13:36:06: Not just environmental, too

13:36:28: Yes!!

13:36:30: Agree- if AI is in use, if it can help create a system that can live without itself, and then release, that can be a more efficient use than something that RUNS on AI

13:36:32: A data center is moving in near me into a town of 6,000 people. the energy requirements for the data center is equivalent to 200,000 homes. It is already in place and is LOUD.

13:36:51: Not only who is not benefiting. Who is being harmed?

13:37:12: I am a fan of Dr Fitzpatrick!

13:37:23:+1!

13:37:27: The question here is whether unreliable information is acceptable, and I think most of us would agree that it is not. So the fact that the speakers aren't addressing this question of reliability is very concerning.

13:37:29: yes - in addition to farmland, here in OK there are also concerns from farmers on the impacts of the noise & air pollution on their animals.

13:37:42: I agree. Systems are made to exclude people- healthcare, financial and immigration. Bureaucratic processes were made and not required. I think AI has a place in deconstructing broken systems that are only meant to benefit some people. For example, language access would be an amazing Ai tool to make processes and services more inclusive. But it doesn't currently function to deconstruct racist and exclusive systems.

13:38:03: We need to be seizing the means of production.

13:38:13: Large grouping of data centers change climate in the region. They are finding that out in Hillsboro OR.

13:38:43: But the table(s) are not level

13:38:48: Washtenaw County, MI is an excellent example with 3 data centers being built. My work is focused on reducing disparities based on race and other population demographics and choose not to use things like copilot, gpt, notetakers etc. because I find myself in an ethical dilemma if I do.

13:38:55: +100!!!

13:39:09: I agree with that. However, many AI data centers are having closed door meetings and making decisions without the community.

13:39:33: Data centers are also not paying for the electrical infrastructure, it's being passed to residents in increased bill amounts

13:39:37: How are AI companies taking responsibility for what the results of AI are? Do companies think they have a responsibility to communities or only to shareholders?

13:39:56: It would be interesting to see a "community benefit" requirement for these data centers that required shared/co-governance with communities.

13:40:07: A search engine uses a fraction of the amount of data processing and energy as AI though. Something I think needs to be kept in consideration.

13:40:18: Yes

13:40:26: Agreed.

13:40:26: I remember being taught in school how to search LOL

13:40:43: I do -AI with every search to avoid that. I've also become more aware of all the data I'm using now. AI uses a ton of energy to do it, but any data we have stored on the internet, in the cloud, every time I use my phone, all of it us using data-transfers which uses energy and data storage etc.

13:40:50: When we think about the vulnerable populations and who is not at the table would love to hear the panelists discuss how things like bias and structural racism can/is being built into these AI tools

13:40:53: Interesting

13:41:12: yes!

13:41:15: Yes! None of our hands are clean, but comparing a gun to a nuke isn't really equivalent

13:41:19:+1

13:41:19: I really wish that we could just stop the AI train. I think it's incredibly destructive and could literally kill us all.

13:41:34: I would like to hear about the measures to address and offset of environmental impacts? Are there no advancements in making these processes more efficient?

13:42:04: I'm with you. Paying lots of attention to the people saying it is inevitable. We've heard that before.

13:42:08: The process builds on itself and sucks anyone in who uses a connected digital device.

13:42:12: There is no incentive for AI to improve their processes. Build fast and cheap is the norm

13:43:23: i am reading so much tension in this computerized chat and i am curious where the actual tension is coming from?

13:43:23: What does it mean training a model? Are we each training a model when we work with our own AI needs?

13:43:24: I don't think AI is going to be removed. Regulated-sure, but there is so much data being given to it, to just kill it. Majority of people are using it, whether they know it or not. Email systems have joined that bandwagon also.

13:43:24: it's literally being integrated as a feature in new electronics....

13:43:32: Data quality? Meh

13:43:49: This push for efficiency is coming at a huge cost. How many people actually refer back to their AI generated notes?

13:44:16: I do all the time - and I build on the conversation. I find it immensely helpful

13:44:18: Yes!!!!

13:44:19: Accuracy is going to be severely impacted. Proper data analysis is not simple or formulaic.

13:44:26: 100%! Garbage in ---> Garbage out

13:44:33: Efforts to improve reliability through methods like RAG have not so far turned up much success: <https://aclanthology.org/2025.naacl-long.281/>

13:44:41: im not worried so much about displacement but i think about the efficacy

13:44:54: I really recommend the book "Empire of AI" to all! The author centers indigenous communities and gives some amazing examples of AI being built and used by community-minded people (there's a great one about Maori elders working with engineers to help preserve their dying language). It is very honest in environmental impacts, worker exploitation, and the capitalist drive behind OpenAI/Anthropic/etc

13:45:07: It's incredible dangerous in a health or helpseeking context.

13:45:08: To all the people expressing concern in the chat with me

13:45:09: Exactly - especially when there is such a history of racism and discrimination in healthcare

13:45:32: thank you for the book rec!

13:45:37: Thanks for your suggestion.

13:45:40: I encourage people to review Inform USA's AI Task Force report which specifically looked at the use of this technology in the context of human service referral – stepping back from particular software vendors and their claims, and looking at how to think about this stuff.

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1SvGu8WddIYHLXGw7aPc6z-6I2XMFL9GQeTQ9BCIBlk/edit?tab=t.0#heading=h.i81yae2zhq0>

13:45:42: qualitative data in healthcare.

13:45:43: (incredibly dangerous)

13:45:43: Lisa- As much as I love the usefulness of Ai's assist, replacing our therapists and Dr's with AI is scary and anyone who takes medical advice solely from AI is dangerous.

13:46:01: What keeps you up at night about AI?

13:46:12: Misinformation and disinformation.

13:46:14: Structure racism

13:46:15: not reliable

13:46:16: No rules and environmental impact!

13:46:16: Workforce replacement

13:46:16: -spread of misinformation/information bias

13:46:19: structural racism

13:46:27: Environment, structural racism, & bias

13:46:28: No ownership. Everything eaten and then sold back to us.

13:46:28: The conformity bias, racism, AIs results are certainly skewed based on what it is "taught"

13:46:29: the better question is what doesn't keep me up at night about AI

13:46:30: Is there a chart anywhere that shows how many emissions occur from each google search vs different AI prompts

13:46:31: Environment, monopolies, disinformation, bias.

13:46:32: Having the ability to utilize plain language.

13:46:34: Get off the grid - if it doesn't crash first

13:46:37: That people aren't fully prepared to use it nor do they understand it

13:46:39: I think AI - due to misinformation and deepfakes, etc. - can seriously jeopardize the health of our democracy.

13:46:40: Is AI removing the friction that make us more resilient?

13:46:40: AI usage in the wrong hands to do harm is scary

13:46:40: I put in the chat earlier about hesitancy of data sources leaving out health data on women (left out of clinical trials up until early 90s) and people of color. However, we know human beings, including doctors can have bias in their diagnosis and treatment. Could AI help women and POC who are under/mis-diagnosed from the medical system get answers from AI when they're not getting it from doctors?

13:46:40: Same

13:46:45: The potential for scamming

13:46:47: death of people with limited resources and education due to taking it as 100% accurate

13:46:56: The environmental impact, how it will impact communities that are already marginalized, the impact on cognitive ability especially for younger people.

13:46:58: structural issues; environmental impacts; misinformation; no regulation; stealing people's online data etc

13:47:02: Use for war

13:47:03: corporate use to expand inequities and using data for harm rather than community benefit

13:47:13: The loss of critical thinking, analysis, and creativity

13:47:14: all of the above and the race to AGI

13:47:16: Challenge of critical thinking replaced by AI. Individuals ability to think

13:47:16: Big Brother control and the reduction of education

13:47:20: the environmental impacts, the lack of regulation (no one is holding these companies accountable- where is the data being stored and how it's used?), people latching on out of loneliness and losing critical thinking skills

13:47:21: on a more micro/personal level: workplace mistakes from people who rely on AI TOO much. And of course all the big issues the chat has touched on.

13:47:22: The quality of the content; replacement of human intelligence

13:47:22: professionals being replaced by it, i.e. doctors

13:47:25: AI dependence / AI addiction

13:47:26: AI being used as if it is human

13:47:28: -misuse/abuse of AI systems (using AI as therapy or a place to vent)

13:47:39: One of the things that worries me is that over time, the genAI output just becomes more and more bland, reinforcing itself as Mary is saying, and it will be very difficult to break out of that.

13:47:45: Dependency on AI that causes folks to lose their critical thinking skills, sense of self, and desire to expand their own knowledge independently.

13:47:49: AI addiction should be studied. I look forward to seeing that research.

13:47:57: AI as a tool treating symptoms of injustice and distracting from actually fixing the problem; Data privacy and mass surveillance

13:48:01: assumptions about cost savings: that tech can replace investment in harder/costlier investments

13:48:03: Dependency on AI

13:48:11: AI dependency and addition that leads to isolation.

13:48:17: I don't know if many people do know bias when they see it

13:48:31: An example: a therapist made notes on her patient and mentioned that the youthful client was concerned because additional family had moved in recently. The therapist said the client was a little down about that. Her AI notes suggested the client was depressed. The folks who moved in were extended family, and AI didn't consider that it was culturally acceptable, and AI moved immediately to depression which was inaccurate.

13:48:37: AI cannot change the power structure, more likely just reproduces it.

13:48:52: Also AI dependency and the manufactured consent to hand over ALL our data

13:48:54: concerned also about constant surveillance- could AI in healthcare put people at risk?

13:48:54: Loss of human connection

13:49:47: can we combat bias in AI if we cant combat it in ourselves?

13:49:50: GREAT example of how you can use high-quality data to create great AI tools:

<https://youtu.be/XsGqgaxy3Mo?si=wTmb4BEwOIOtEX8B>

13:49:58: Who's regulating AI to protect the everyday person?

13:49:58: -dont yet fully understand the long term implications of allowing AI to be SO passively accessible

13:49:58: Spanish Translation is literal, not cultural and linguistically correct.

13:49:59: The fact that everyone being displaced by these new technologies are not able to pay to be trained in how to be included in the economy in the horizon. What is the plan for the youth, elderly, or unskilled people who can't do the jobs everyone says AI will create.

13:50:02: Actually the models' performance have been shown to be degrading over time, because they are polluting their sources.

13:50:07: When it comes to translation it is not always reliable and accurate

13:50:13: a lot of sloppy thinking in this discussion.

13:50:14: Weren't Waymos also operated by people abroad?

13:50:18: Who can afford a Waymo anyway?

13:50:23: improving by what standard? equity? ethics? who sets the standard by which AI is considered to be improving? because what I am assuming you are referring to is efficiency and cost-effectiveness.

13:50:36: I think this just means that bias and discrimination will be more baked in and we will not be able to fix it.

13:50:39: Yeah the improvements are incremental at best. We are not seeing these generational upgrades that the companies keep promising...

13:51:05: +1. My thoughts exactly.

13:51:07: Ethical AI is just around the corner.... likely not.

13:51:10: This talking point about the systems being "the worst they will ever be" really just has to be held to account. It's not holding up!

<https://www.nytimes.com/2025/05/05/technology/ai-hallucinations-chatgpt-google.html>

13:51:11: I am concerned about many things. I am most concerned about military / surveillance uses of AI. AI models consistently and regularly want to launch atomic weapons in scenarios presented to it.

13:51:15: Not sure I agree this is the worst it will be because systemic racism is already baked in

13:51:18: Really disappointing.

13:51:24: But if aI can learn my voice and tone, could it learn cultural norms, linguistics and vernaculars?

13:51:28: +1 Rey. Well stated.

13:51:37: and the "improvements" are coming at the cost of environmental impacts and harm to marginalized groups

13:51:41: can we combat bias in AI if we cant combat it in ourselves?

13:51:45: Love this

13:51:47:lol agree to disagree!

13:51:55: Completely agree Lisa!

13:52:02: YUUUPPP!

13:52:02: Love that Lisa! I agree

13:52:04: Rey, Waymo is still ridiculous to me. They are being operated outside of the US for cheap labor and highlights the exact problem/concerns that i see in the chat

13:52:19: I appreciate that we should know how to use AI rather than shunning it, but do feel like this conversation isn't getting at the root of participant's concerns about AI, its impact on the environment, lack of accountability with AI data sources, etc.

13:52:21: Spanish Translation is literal, not cultural and linguistically correct. - I remember when we saw a major institution get the transition wrong!

13:52:47: ^this is a concern for me as well as it's already being used to collect biometrics and identify people who are eligible for targeting for all kinds of negative things that I won't get into here, except to say that it's creating problems for civilians from law enforcement and military.

13:52:55: I am appreciative of the cautions being expressed by all.

13:53:02: I strongly disagree with the idea that as AI gets more experienced, it will be less biased.

13:53:13: Agreed ^^

13:53:21: yes!

13:53:26: And there's no incentive for people to identify AI bias and eliminate it

13:53:58: Yeah there's no incentive for tech companies to even attempt eliminating bias

13:54:01: Bias cannot be eliminated, it can however be mitigated.

13:54:09: I don't think they will eliminate it. It has been difficult to truly even remove it in humans- let alone in the tools created by humans. Is data truly protected?

13:54:11: If we reflect on our lived experience with "software," I don't think we can believe people who say it's only going to get better. Most of our experience from the past generation is that most software gets worse over time!

13:54:13: Or bias can be promoted

13:54:21: This chat section is wonderful and I really appreciate the input being offered by so many of y'all

13:54:34: I am concerned that we will replace humans before we have any of this figured out and no one will care bc it's saving money...also, there has been explicit visioning by the creators that there will be a universal basic income from those savings for people who are "replaced", which will create a caste system. That is not a utopia.

13:54:40: Does anyone here remember "The Technological Imperative," a 60s-era book by Jacques Ellul? He also wrote a book about Propaganda.

13:54:45: I wonder how many people in this chat feel that their experience using Google has gotten better over time.

13:54:58: companies have no incentive or motivation in telling people that they are taking their data so that people can speak up.

13:55:02: Me too! Love this chat

13:55:25: That's a good point. There is a lot on SEO right now...lots of money moving around so results appear a certain way

13:55:34: Excellent presentation that has provided a lot

13:55:34: I read that if AI came from academia, it would be more accurate and trustworthy and I think about this a lot.

13:55:38: Can the AI system eventually start to feed on itself based on it regenerating it's own data and seeing that data only as accurate?

13:55:41: Yes! The "Enshittification" (Pardon the expletive, but that's the word for it) is real!

13:55:44: search results are total garbage now

13:55:52: Agree

13:55:55: When people tell you that the technology will only get better, they are trying to convince you to surrender your agency!

13:56:03:

<https://www.energy-northwest.com/news-releases/amazon-energy-northwest-announce-plans-to-develop-advanced-nuclear-technology-in-washington/> This article describes two new Nuclear Reactors approved in WA State, this is where the future of energy will come from for AI.

13:56:07: +100

13:56:09: Mary- I feel like you are blaming communities for not speaking up and advocating. AI is being done in the shadows, especially with black, brown, and disabled individuals.

13:56:14: We need some AI to summarize the Chat lol

13:56:32 :Ha ha yes we do

13:56:52 AI and Data Justice movement, please. thank you.

13:56:54: Absolutely

13:56:55: Absolutely not, lol. The whole point of the chat is how AI couldn't do that well or the costs wouldn't be worth it

13:56:57: I think the prompt for a future conversation should be, "Given that these technologies are not reliable, and given that we actually can't expect that to change, what should we do?"

13:57:16: I agree - specific, actionable steps would be helpful

13:57:34: We have to where on where incentives for this technology are being routed

13:57:45: Yes, Alex! There is such a need for an organized counterweight to the corporate power.

13:57:47: AI justice is linked to all other justice movements. Please join with them! Poor People's Campaign, Disability Justice Orgs, etc.

13:57:49: Thank you for a thought provoking conversation! Looking forward to part 2 and beyond.

13:57:49: Someone posed to me: Do you want AI in self-driving cars to have the power to weigh if it's better to let you die or possibly kill 3 people in the other car...it really struck me.

13:57:50: For every human displaced by AI we need AI to pay that persons life economic displacement.

13:57:58: I would recommend this webinar only if the Chat was included!

13:58:11:+

13:58:12: THANK YOU all. So glad to be in this conversation withe everyone of you

13:58:29: Agreed. I don't think AI is going away anytime. It's globally adopted and clearly a tool for nations to be world leaders or followers.

13:58:39: This had to be a good panel because you got everybody all riled up! In the best way. Thank you everyone. This was insightful and invigorating.

13:58:41: I didn't get a chance to read every comment in the chat while also listening to the speakers. I'd like a copy of the chat!!!!

13:58:53:100% agree

13:58:54: Thank you -nice combination of speakers!💕

13:59:13: This was such an insightful conversation. Thank you, speakers!

13:59:24: Yes, Mary!!

13:59:26: This was a great webinar - will the slides and recording be sent out after?

13:59:29: This webinar and all of the attendees were so fucking great.

13:59:30: I need AI to summarize this chat. JK

13:59:33: What they said!

13:59:38: Humans should embrace friction... our drive for convenience will probably move us away from equitable outcomes

13:59:40: Thank you!

13:59:45: Tremendous conversation, thank you for organizing.

13:59:54: human conversations have "improved" certainly- people have become even more polished even in text messages. It feels not genuine. A friend sends me a text and uses Ai to polish a response to attend brunch. Disparity is only increasing. But I am still hopeful. Thank you for sharing that Mary!

13:59:57: Cheer up, there is no hope lol

13:59:57: Thank you for your insights, Mary!

14:00:05: I hope to see Microsoft talking to more communities since you work there @Mary.

14:00:12: The non profit sector needs training on this also we need human in the loop example policies to implement. @ the local level we need example policies to confront the displacement of retail and focus on user fees to confront displacements.

14:00:31: I have to hop - this was an interesting conversation. Thanks for all in the chat providing such thoughtful questions!

14:00:35: Thank you for the information and for the amazing conversation about AI and health disparities and how all are being affected.

14:00:44: Great Webinar. Thank you!! :)

14:00:47: Thank you, Dr. Fitzpatrick!

14:00:58: Thanks, Everyone!

14:01:05: So.Much.power

14:01:06: The fact that I don't know how much AI I already use is no defense of the direction the AI giants are taking.

14:01:15: Yes!!!!!!!

14:01:18: Thank you to all of the presenters for this discussion.

14:01:22: This was great I give this a 10 out of 10. We need another follow up with breakout rooms to inform best practices.

14:01:25: Can we also get a copy of the chat? Or a summary? Maybe AI can help LOL

14:01:26: I'd love a list of actions to take

14:01:26: It's hard to mobilize as a group, especially in the political climate we're dealing with right now

14:01:28: This was great! looking forward to more...

14:01:29: Just as any movement, people need to be organized. Doing something by yourself won't cut it.

14:01:32: there's an option in LLM (at least in Claude) to NOT share data for learning purposes

14:01:34: Thanks to all!

14:01:40: Thank you all, loved this insight and conversation. <3

14:01:41: Thanks all

14:01:42: There was a comment about this but it seems AI is leaned on for bureaucratic processes...maybe we need to address that system to begin with

14:01:43: Can we have contact info for the speakers?

14:01:45: this conversations need to continue...Thank you

14:01:47: Thank you all!

14:01:48: thank you!

14:01:49: Thank you everyone

14:01:50: Thank you!